

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION

(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi)

APPELLATE AUTHORITY

[Under Section 7, Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001]

Date of Hearing : 28.05.2018

Date of Decision : 28.05.2018

Applicant : Sh. R.L. Makhija

Respondent : Dy. Commissioner (South Zone), South DMC
Dy. Commissioner (Central Zone), South DMC
Dy. Commissioner (Nazafgarh Zone), South DMC
Dy. Commissioner (West Zone), South DMC

Appeal No : 768, 769, 771 & 772/2017

Appeal filed on : 08.01.2018

DRTI Application filed on : 27.11.2017

Competent Authority replied on : No reply

Appellant Reference No. : RLM/207-18/234, 233, 238 & 237 dt. 27/11/2017

1. Background :

Sh. R.L. Makhija, the appellant vide his four applications under Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001, had sought information from the Competent Authority notified under the DRTI Act-2001.

The appellant did not receive any information from the Competent Authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner (South Zone), (Central Zone), (Nazafgarh Zone) and (West Zone) of South DMC. Hence, he filed the appeals before the Appellate Authority, Public Grievances Commission under Section 7 of DRTI Act -2001.

2. Proceedings

The appellant is present. Shri Sher Bahadur, Asstt. Commissioner (Advt.) and Sh. Om Prakash Singh, C.O. (Advt.), SDMC are present on behalf of the respondent / Competent Authority. The reply submitted on behalf of the department has been examined.

Since in all the appeals, mentioned above, the appellant has posed similar queries in respect of installation of Unipole in different Zones of the South Delhi Municipal Corporation, a joint order is being issued in respect of all the appeals.

On perusal of the record including the applications submitted by the appellant, it has been observed that the appellant had submitted the application before the Deputy Commissioners of various Zones of South Delhi Municipal Corporation, whereas as per the list of Competent Authorities, notified under the Act, the Competent Authority in respect of matters relating to Advertisement is Deputy Commissioner (Advertisement), SDMC. The appellant is advised to address the application to the proper Competent Authority to avoid delay in seeking the information / correct information.

Sh. Sher Bahadur, Asstt. Commissioner (Advt.), SDMC stated that the information relating to Unipole is available on the official website of the department. He further submitted that a reply had already been provided to the appellant. The appellant, however, stated that he is not satisfied with the reply of the department.

3. Decision :

The Competent Authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner (Advt.), South Delhi Municipal Corporation is directed to allow the appellant to inspect the record available on the official website of the department and provide the information to the appellant, as per convenience of both the parties.

With the above observations / directions the present appeal case is ordered to be closed before the Appellate Authority.

(SUDHIR YADAV)

Member,
Public Grievances Commission

Copy to :

1. Deputy Commissioner
(Advertisement), South DMC
22nd Floor, Dr. S.P.M. Civic Centre,
Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg,
New Delhi – 110 002.
2. Shri R.L. Makhija