# **PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**

(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi)

### APPELLATE AUTHORITY

[Under Section 7, Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001]

Appeal No. <u>193/2017/PGC/DRTI/North DMC</u> Dt. 13.6.2018

**Date of Hearing:** 04.12.2018

**Appellant:** Sh. V.S.Chauhan

**Competent Authority:** Dy. Commissioner (Hg.)

Revenue Department

**Application under DRTI:** 18.4.2018

# **1** Background:

The appellant vide his DRTI application dated 18.4.2018 had sought information on total 09 points. Aggrieved by non-receipt of information from the Competent Authority, he filed the appeal.

## 2. Proceedings

The appellant is present. Sh. Rajeev Kumar, SDM (Hq.) is present on behalf of the department. He informed that, s directed by the Commission on the last date of hearing, a revised reply dt. 26.11.2018 duly signed by the Competent Authority, has been sent to the appellant. A copy of the same was submitted in the hearing today.

The reply has been perused and it seems that the department has provided satisfactory information to the queries of the appellant. However, the appellant argues that the department has provided wrong information in response to query no. 01 that the departmental guidelines are being followed by all the Sub-Registrar offices in Delhi. He further informed that he has given a specific complaint regarding loss/theft of stamp duty in the office of the Sub-Registrar-III to Sh. Rajeev Kumar. Sh. Rajeev Kumar informed that the complaint has been sent to the concerned Sub-Registrar for comments and action as deemed fit shall be taken after receipt of the comments of the officer. One set of documents, containing the complaints of Sh. V.S. Chauhan along with their enclosures, were handed over to Sh. Rajeev Kumar during the hearing today.

#### 3 Decision

Since the Competent Authority Viz. Dy. Commissioner (Hq.) Revenue Department has provided satisfactory information to the queries of the appellant, the present appeal case under the DRTI Act 2001 is ordered to be closed in the Commission.

However, it is observed that the appellant has raised some serious issues regarding the functioning of the Sub-Registrar, Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi, which require deeper examination. It is therefore ordered that this appeal case may be transferred to grievances branch for fixing the case for regular hearing in the Commission as a grievance matter.

# **ASHOK KUMAR)**

Chairman, Public Grievances Commission

# Copy to:-

- 1 Sh. Mohd. Abid, Dy. Commissioner (HQ.-III), Revenue Department, GNCT of Delhi, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi-110 054
- 2 Sh. Rajeev Kumar, SDM (Hq.-III), Revenue Department, GNCT of Delhi, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi-110 054
- 3 Dy. Secretary (DRTI), PGC, with the direction to transfer the appeal file to the grievance branch.
- 4 Dy. Secretary (PGC), looking after Revenue matter with the direction to fix the case for hearing as a grievance.
- 5 Sh. V.S. Chauhan