

**PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**  
**GOVT. OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI**  
Order under Para 2(B) of the PGC Resolution No F.4/14/94-AR dated 30.7.1998

**Date of hearing: 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2018**

Complainant : Smt. Rukmani Devi wife of Shri Manohar  
Lal Sharma, r/o 3/147, Sector-16, Rohini Delhi,

Respondent : **Special Commissioner of Police  
Delhi Police (Vigilance),PS  
Barakhamba Road, New Delhi**

Grievance No. : PGC/2017/DP/296  
Grievance filed on : 16/10/2017  
First hearing in the PGC : 15/2/2018  
Scheduled on

**1. Brief facts of the complaint**

The complainant has filed a complaint against her son Om Prakash Sharma, his wife Smt. Babita Sharma, younger son Shri Lokesh Sharma and his wife Smt. Mamta Sharma for their high handness in pre-planned conspiracy, indulging in physical assault with abusive remarks and finally dispossessing her and her husband from her own property. The complainant has requested for restoration of possession of property bearing NO. A-7/12, IInd Floor, Sector-16, Rohini, Delhi-110089 with roof right.

**2. Proceedings in the Public Grievances Commission**

The PGC convened its first hearing in the complaint on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2018, when the following were present:-

Complainant : Not Present  
Respondent : SI Sunil Kumar, PS K.N.K. Marg  
ACP Manmohan, DIU/North West

**3 Relevant facts emerging during the hearing**

An ATR has been filed by SI Sunil Kumar, PS K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi stating the following :-

- i. On above mentioned complaint, I enquired the complainant and found that the complainant Manohar Lal had purchased a flat NO. A-7/12, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Sector-16, Rohini, Delhi in 2006 with roof right and started living with his both sons namely Om Prakash and

# **PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**

GOVT. OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI

Order under Para 2(B) of the PGC Resolution No F.4/14/94-AR dated 30.7.1998

Lokesh Sharma. Thereafter, in 2009, he got prepared 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor upon his 2<sup>nd</sup> floor for his younger son Lokesh Sharma. Both sons started living separately, elder in 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor and younger in 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor. But after some time, his elder son started doing arguments with him. Complainant made call on 100 no., but he did not want any legal action against his elder son. Now he disinherited his son from his property and he wants that possession of Flat NO. A-7/12, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Sector-16, Rohini, Delhi may be given by his son to him and flat should be vacant by his elder son.

- ii. The complainant wants that his flat should be vacant by his son namely Omprakash Sharma. The matter is civil in nature. The complainant already has been informed that he may file a complaint before civil court, hence, the complaint has already been disposed off."

## **4. Directions of PGC**

Police has done enquiry in the matter and prima-facie no cognizable offence is made out in the case. Hence, no police action is warranted. The Commission has, therefore, decided to close the case in PGC.

  
(SUDHIR YADAV)  
MEMBER(PGC)  
26/2/18

Copy to: 33137-140

1. The Special Commissioner of Police (Vigilance), Delhi Police, PS Barakhamba Road, New Delhi.
2. The Addl. Commissioner of Police (Vigilance), Delhi Police, Police Station Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001.
3. The Deputy Commissioner of Police (District Outer), Old Police Post Dost Pushpanjali Enclave, Road NO. 43, Pitampura, Delhi-110034
4. Smt. Rukmani Devi wife of Shri Manohar Lal Sharma, r/o A-3/147, Sector-16, Rohini, Delhi-110089.