

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION
GOVT. OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI
Order under Para 2(B) of the PGC Resolution No F.4/14/94-AR dated 30.7.1998

Date of hearing: 20th November, 2018

Grievance No. PGC/2018/Annex.II/DP/111

Complainant	:	Shri Gauri Shankar Bairwa, Advocate
Respondent	:	Spl. CP (Vigilance)-through ACP S.A. Rashid, SED ASI Sushil Kumar, PS Sangam Vihar

1. Brief facts of the complaint

The complainant has filed a complaint in PGC stating that on receiving a telephone call from his client Mehak on 24.10.2018 at 10.00 p.m. that she is being pressurized for compromise in the police station and also her complaint in the matter is being changed. He reached the police station alongwith three lawyers and saw that IO/ASI Sushil Kumar was forcing his client to write something which was objected by him. Inspector Mukesh Kumar was also there. He asked them to go out from the Police station. On refusing to leave the police station, 10-15 policemen came and started beating them due to which he got his hand injured. He further alleged that he came to know that Inspector Mukesh had already got Rs. 3 lakhs from the husband of his client, as a result police were pressurizing his client to compromise in the matter. He has also alleged snatching of their mobile phones by the police. The complainant further stated that he has the CCTV video recording of the incident. When he desired to narrate the whole incident to the SHO on 25.10.2018, SHO asked him to wait till evening. He alleged that all the CCTV cameras were removed from the PS by 3-4 policemen. He also made a complaint at No. 100 on 26.10.2018. On receiving the call from PS Sangam Vihar, he narrated the whole incident on the phone. The complainant has requested to register an FIR and to get the investigation conducted from any other police station. He has also requested for departmental action including suspension of the erring police officials.

2. Relevant facts emerged during the hearing

2.1 ASI Sushil Kumar from PS Sangam Vihar presented an Action taken report. The same has been taken on record and is in file. He further stated that the complainant Shri Gauri Shanker alongwith another person namely Irfan came to the police station for settlement on behalf of Ms. Mehak. Without any provocation, the complainant who was in inebriated condition started shouting. The complainant was subjected to medical examination and his blood alcohol

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION
GOVT. OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI
Order under Para 2(B) of the PGC Resolution No F.4/14/94-AR dated 30.7.1998

level was reported 52 mg/100 ml as per the medical report of AIIMS. Both the complainant and the other person namely Irfan were lawyers practicing in the Saket Court. When the complainant became normal, he stated that all this happened due to misunderstanding and took his sister Mehak and her daughter to his home.

2.2 ASI further stated that complainant Shri Gauri Shankar has also filed a writ petition in similar matter in the Hon'ble High Court in which status report is required to be filed by 28.1.2019.

3. Directions of PGC

Considering the fact that a writ petition has been filed in the Court, the Commission therefore decide to close the matter. No further proceedings.

(SUDHIR YADAV)
MEMBER(PGC)

Copy to:

1. The Special Commissioner of Police (Vigilance), Delhi Police, Police Headquarter, 5th Floor, ITO, Delhi.
2. The DCP/South East District, Police Station Sarita Vihar, New Delhi.
3. The SHO/PS Sangam Vihar, Police Station Sangam Vihar, New Delhi
4. Shri Gauri Shankar Bairwa, Advocate